

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,749	03/30/2001	Kuei-Jen Chang	67,200-397 4792	
7:	590 06/16/2003			
TUNG & ASSOCIATES Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			EXAMINER	
			ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	()
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ar	
address	_
WANCE. er reply to a application in st for Continued	
chever is later. In no	
ON. See MPEP	
opriate extension fee te extension fee under on; or (2) as set forth in filed, may reduce any	
in	
w);	
or simplifying the	
claims.	
filed amendment	
NOT place the	
were newly	
red and an	

		- 0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/822,749	CHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Luz L. Alejandro	1763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence

THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the appropriation for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	und
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyir issues for appeal; and/or	ng t
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	mer
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

1

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: regarding applicant's statement that Moffat fails to teach the same sequence as applicant, the examiner respectfully submits that such sequence is not claimed and therefore this argument is moot. Additionally, Collins et al. is relied upon to show cooling the backside of a wafer and not Moffat. This limitation is clearly shown in Collins et al. since the cooling is done between the wafer and the cathode (see col. 23-lines 14-16).